

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CONNIE WILLIAMS, individually,
Plaintiff,

vs.

THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS, a Nevada
municipality; DOES 1-10, inclusive; ROE
CORPORATIONS 1-10, inclusive,
Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:08-cv-01344-LRH-GWF

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DEFENDANTS'
TIME FOR FILING REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION**
(First Request)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the parties through their respective
counsel that the time for filing the City's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to City's Motion for

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

1 Summary Judgment be extended from November 12, 2009, to November 30, 2009.

2 DATED this 13th day of November, 2009.

3 BRADFORD R. JERBIC
4 City Attorney

KIRK T. KENNEDY, ESQ.

5 By: /s/ Philip R. Byrnes
6 PHILIP R. BYRNES
7 Deputy City Attorney
8 Nevada Bar No. 166
400 Stewart Avenue, Ninth Floor
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Attorneys for CITY OF LAS VEGAS

By: /s/ Kirk T. Kennedy, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 5032
815 South Casino Center Boulevard
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Attorney for Plaintiff

9

10

IT IS SO ORDERED:

11

12



13

14

LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

15

16

DATED: November 19, 2009

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28